## **Script for General Evaluator**

[Go to the lectern; shake hands with the Toastmaster]

Thank you, Mister/Madam Toastmaster, Fellow Toastmasters, and welcome Guests!

This is the evaluation portion of our meeting. First, we will hear Speech Evaluators give evaluations of today's prepared speeches. Then, I will give my general evaluation of the overall meeting.

Each Speech Evaluator will speak for 2 to 3 minutes.

Our first evaluator is	, evaluating	's speech, "	,,
	_	use until Evaluator takes the lecte	
Our second evaluator is	, evaluating	's speech, "	"
Please help me welcome	[Lead applau	ise until Evaluator takes the lecte	rn.]
Our third evaluator is	, evaluating	's speech, "	"
Please help me welcome	[Lead applau	ise until Evaluator takes the lecte	rn.]
[Give your evaluation of the med	eting. Make sure to	include suggestions and encourag	gement]
Now I return the meeting contro	ol to Mister/Madam	Toastmaster.	
[Shake hands with the Toastmas	ter; go back to your	seat]	

Checklist for General Evaluator  A. Sergent-at-Arms  _ Was the room prepared? (flag displayed, gavel ready, timer equipment, ribbons, etc.)
B. Presiding Officer _ Did the meeting start on time? _ Were the guests welcomed?
C. Toastmaster  _ Was introduction of the meeting theme brief and clear?  _ Were the meeting roles explained (timer, grammarian, 'ah' counter, vote counter, posture bell)?  _ Did the speech introductions include  _ a brief biographical sketch?  _ the objectives of the speech?  _ the speech title and minutes?  _ Did the TM lead applause and not leave the lectern "naked"?  _ Did the segues between speeches show that the Toastmaster was listening?  _ Will the meeting end on time?
D. Table Topics Master  _ Did TT Master introduce the Table Topics' purposes and the time limits?  _ Were the topics appropriate?  _ Were members called on in the appropriate order (those with no role, then smaller roles, then larger roles)?  _ Were guests invited to participate?
<ul> <li>E. Table Topics Participants</li> <li>_ How has each speaker improved?</li> <li>_ Were any special techniques (rephrase, artful dodge) used?</li> <li>_ What, in terms of the <i>mechanics</i> of impromptu speaking, can be improved?</li> </ul>
F. Evaluators  _ Were there specific techniques employed {(3,2,1 method), (saw, felt, heard method), sandwich method, COD method}  _ Did it begin on a positive note?  _ Was at least one suggestion for improvement made?  _ Were more than 3 suggestions made?  _ Was encouragement added at the close?